

SCORING SHEET FOR OLD GUARD ORAL COMPETITION AT STUDENT CONFERENCES

Name of Speaker _____

Total Score _____

Technical Score _____

RANGE SCORE

CONTENT To what extent is the subject of interest to a technical audience? Is credit given for source of material or contribution by others? How much knowledge of subject was exhibited? Is work independent and original? Is the subject technical or general in nature?	Maximum 30 points	
Subject Matter: General.....Technical	0 5 10	
Personal Contribution: Library Research.....Independent Creative Project	0 5 10	
Knowledge of Subject: Limited.....Complete	0 5 10	
ORGANIZATION Is there any novel approach to the subject? Is there sufficient background information provided in order to introduce the audience to the subject? Are the facts developed in logical and continuous sequence? Is there a definite conclusion, and was it adequately based on the facts or data presented?	Maximum 30 points	
Introduction: Background (eliciting audience interest).....Objectives, Outline of Presentation	0 5 10	
Continuity: Essential Facts Developed in Logical Sequence	0 5 10	
Conclusion: Definite and Based on Facts	0 5 10	
DELIVERY AND EFFECTIVENESS Are the words distinctly pronounced with a speed appropriate for the cultural makeup of the audience and judges and was proper volume used to be heard by all? Is proper English used, and is the vocabulary sufficient? Is personal appearance appropriate? Are there any distracting mannerisms? Is the manner of delivery (conversation, memorized, read from manuscript) satisfactory? If visual aids are used, how effectively are they used? Is the presentation within the time limit of 15 minutes allowed?	Maximum 30 points	
Vocal Delivery: Conversation vs. Memorized, Proper Volume, Distinct Pronunciation, Timing	0 5 10	
Body Language: Eye Contact with Audience, Distracting Mannerisms	0 5 10	
Visual Aide: Legibility, Effectiveness	0 5 10	
DISCUSSION Is the presentation evoking spontaneous questions from the audience? Are the questions indicating the need for clarification of facts presented, or were they merely of the type seeking additional information? How readily and with what self-assurance did the speaker answer questions? Are the answers indicating knowledge of the subject beyond that disclosed in the original presentation? Is the ability to think clearly demonstrated?	Maximum 10 points	
Discussion	0 5 10	